# Rate Schedule 1 – Allocation of NYISO Budget

## **Chris Russell**

Manager, Customer Settlements

### **Budget & Priorities Working Group**

June 5, 2017, Krey Corporate Center, Rensselaer, NY



**DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY** 

©COPYRIGHT NYISO 2017. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

# Background

The purpose of this presentation is to remind stakeholders of the language included in Rate Schedule 1 requiring a vote by the Management Committee in Q3 2017 to determine whether a new Cost of Service Study should be conducted to evaluate the Rate Schedule 1 allocation between withdrawals and injections.

 For purposes of this presentation, references to allocations of Rate Schedule 1 relate solely to the ISO Annual Budget (as discussed in section 6.1.2 of the OATT).





**DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY** 

©COPYRIGHT NYISO 2017. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

# **History of NYISO RS1 Allocations**

- Nov. 1999 May 2002: 100% Withdrawals/ 0% Injections
- June 2002 Dec.2004: 85% Withdrawals/15% Injections

### Jan. 2005 – Dec. 2011: 80% Withdrawals/20% Injections\*

- 19% Injections/6% Non-Physical
- Jan.2012 Present: 72% Withdrawals/ 28% Injections\*



Non-Physical transactions included with rebates provided to Withdrawals and Injections, resulting in an approximate allocation of 75% Withdrawals/

With Non-physical transactions and rebates included, the approximate allocation is 68% Withdrawals/ 26% Injections/ 6% Non-Physical



# **Current RS1 Allocation** 72% Withdrawals/28% Injections (with rebates provided for recoveries

- from non-physical transactions)
  - of the Management Committee in July 2011
  - 2012 December 2016

**DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY** ©COPYRIGHT NYISO 2017. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED



Followed consultant study in 2010-2011 and ~67% affirmative support

Scheduled to be effective for a minimum of five years, from January



# **Tariff requirements**

- Current tariff language required a vote of the Management Committee in the third calendar quarter of 2015 on whether a new study should be conducted during late 2015 and 2016 to allow for modification of the current cost allocation.
- This vote was held at the August 26, 2015 Management Committee meeting and did not result in a recommendation for conducting a study of RS1 in 2015-2016. Going forward from the 2015 vote, the tariff outlines the required process for extending the current structure in future years.





### **Tariff requirements (cont.)** OATT Section 6.1.2.3 (ii) required that a vote of the Management Committee be taken in Q3 2016 to determine if a study should be conducted in 2016-2017.

- the RS1 allocation methodology.





• The 2016 vote required a 58% of the MC to <u>decline</u> conducting a study, or else the study would be required to go forward in 2016-2017.

• If the MC voted to decline conducting a study in 2016-2017, a vote would be required in Q3 of each year to decline conducting a study of



# **Tariff Requirements (cont.)**

### **Operative language from RS1 Section 6.1.2.3**

- **(i)** determination of whether a study should be conducted.
- (ii) forward with the study, the study will be conducted



A vote of the Management Committee will be taken in the third calendar quarter of 2015 on whether a new study should be conducted during late-2015 and 2016 to allow modification of the 72%/28% cost allocation, if warranted by the results of the study, to be implemented by January 1, 2017. A positive vote by 58% of the Management Committee will be required to go forward with the study, but there will no longer be a "material change" standard as was historically applied to the

If the Management Committee vote discussed in (i) above determines that a study should not be conducted, the 72%/28% cost allocation between Withdrawal Billing Units and Injection Billing Units shall be extended through at least December 31, 2017. In the third calendar quarter of 2016, a vote will be taken on whether a new study should be conducted during late-2016 and 2017 to allow modification of the percentage allocation, if warranted by the results of the study, to be implemented by January 1, 2018. Unless a 58% vote of the Management Committee is registered in favor of declining to go



# **Tariff Requirements (cont.)**

### **Operative language from RS1 Section 6.1.2.3**

(iii) If the Management Committee vote in the third calendar quarter of 2016 discussed in (ii) above determines that a study the third calendar quarter of 2016 discussed in (ii) above determines that a study should not be conducted, the commission the study) that was applied to the Management Committee vote in the third calendar quarter of 2016 discussed in (ii) above.



should not be conducted, the current 72%/28% cost allocation shall remain unchanged until such point in time as the Management Committee determines that a study shall be conducted and the results of that study warrant changing the percentage allocation between Withdrawal Billing Units and Injection Billing Units. If the Management Committee vote in Management Committee will revisit the issue of conducting a study annually in the third calendar quarter of each year using the same voting standard (*i.e.* the study shall be performed unless 58% of the Management Committee votes not to



### **Tariff Requirements (cont.) Operative Language from RS1 Section 6.1.2.3**

(iv) If, and when, the Management Committee determines a study shall be conducted:

- **(a)** the year prior to the date on which a possible change to the then current allocation may become effective; and
- **(b)** study scope before the draft is issued by the ISO.



Such study shall be completed, and the results thereof shared with Market Participants, before the end of the second calendar quarter of

The ISO will present a draft study scope to Market Participants for consideration and comment before the ISO issues the study scope as part of its Request For Proposal process to retain a consultant to perform the study. A meeting shall be held with Market Participants to discuss the components (*e.g.,* categories of costs considered, allocation of benefits, unbundling, etc.) that should be included in the draft



# Most recent RS 1 Study Information

- and Veatch in 2011.
  - Cost = ~ \$ 215K
  - Study time =  $\sim 6$  months
  - and 1 MC
  - practices, etc.



## Most recent RS1 Allocation study was performed by Black

MP Meetings = 5 Individual Sector meetings, 6 working group meetings,

Scope= Evaluate NYISO cost for potential changes in cost recovery structure using NYISO data, staff interviews, and comparison of other ISO



# **Considerations for a future RS 1 Study**

- - Integration of renewable resources
  - DER Roadmap

  - Energy Storage Integration and Optimization Integration of Public Policy



### A new study may be necessary in order to consider the RS1 impact of potential market design changes, for example:



**DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY** 

©COPYRIGHT NYISO 2017. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

### **RS 1 Cost Allocation Study Vote** Next Steps

July 26th - Management Committee 





